

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

and surplusage which infects so many of our school text-books. In typography and in the matter of intelligent and neatly executed diagrams the book leaves little to be desired. μ .

DIE ANALYSE DER EMPFINDUNGEN UND DAS VERHÄLTNISS DES PHYSISCHEN ZUM PSYCHISCHEN. By Dr. E. Mach, Professor in the University of Vienna. Vienna: Verlag von Gustav Fischer. 1900. Pages, vii, 244.

The first edition of this small but valuable work, which in our judgment contained as many original ideas, investigations, and suggestions for further research as any book of its size in psychological literature, appeared fourteen years ago. Its function, as modestly indicated by its author, who is by profession a physicist, was solely that of an aperçu; but to judge from the influence which it has exerted it may be considered to have fulfilled a far loftier mission. The author is aware of the great strides which experimental psychology has taken since the original appearance of the work, but he has wisely avoided the temptation of incorporating the results of that research into the second edition of his book, and has rightly preferred to let it stand in nearly its original form, with the addition of a few supplementary chapters designed to explain his position with reference to the controversies which have since then arisen concerning the main problems of psychology and methodology. One of these chapters appeared in 1897 as an appendix to the English translation of his book; the second discusses his intellectual relationship to Avenarius, which has recently been a subject of frequent comment on the part of Avenarius's pupils; the third is concerned with the relations of physics and biology (causality and teleology); the fourth is on the will, and the fifth on sensation, memory, and association. We believe that these new discussions, in so far as they touch upon moot points and are not apologetic, will be stimulative to psychological thought in no less a degree than their predecessors, and that the value of the little book has been increased by them.

EBENE UND SPHÄRISCHE TRIGONOMETRIE. By Dr. F. Bohnert, Hamburg. Leipsic: G. J. Göschen'sche Verlagshandlung. 1900. Pages, viii, 160. Price, bound, 2 marks.

EBENE GEOMETRIE DER LAGE. By Dr. Rudolf Böger, Professor in the Johanneum, Hamburg. Leipsic: G. J. Göschen'sche Verlagshandlung. 1900. Pages, x, 289. Price, bound, 5 marks.

We noticed in the last number of *The Monist* the first volumes of the new mathematical series conducted under the editorship of Professor Schubert, of Hamburg, and we have now to call attention to two new issues, namely, Dr. Bohnert's *Plane and Spherical Trigonometry* and Dr. Rudolf Böger's *Plane Geometry of Position*. Dr. Bohnert's work is a concise and clear exposition of the elements of plane and spherical trigonometry, made on the traditional pattern, but avoiding not a little of the conventional rubbish and strongly emphasising methodological